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A new benzophenone $O$-glycoside, tricornoside A (1), and five new xanthone $O$-glycosides, tricornosides $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{F}(\mathbf{4}-\mathbf{8})$, were isolated from the roots of Polygala tricornis together with three known glycosides (2, 3, and $\mathbf{9}$ ). The structures of new compounds were elucidated on the basis of chemical and spectroscopic evidence.

We previously reported the isolation of 12 oligosaccharides, called tricornoses $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{L}$, and eight known sucrose esters from the roots of Polygala tricornis Gagnep. ${ }^{1}$ Herein we report the isolation and structural elucidation of a new benzophenone $O$-glycoside named tricornoside A (1) and five new xanthone $O$-glycosides named tricornosides $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{F}$ (4-8). Three known compounds also isolated from this plant were identified by comparison with reported data as garcimangosone $\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{2}),{ }^{2}$ arillanin $\mathrm{G}(\mathbf{3}),{ }^{3}$ and polygalaxanthone $\mathrm{V}(\mathbf{9}) .{ }^{4}$

Tricornoside A (1) was obtained as an amorphous powder. Its molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ was deduced from the HRESIMS. On acid hydrolysis, it gave glucose and apiose. The IR spectrum showed bands at 3358 and 1614 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, suggesting the presence of hydroxyl and carbonyl groups. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ showed the presence of two aromatic protons appearing as broad singlets at $\delta$ 6.02 and 6.06 , five protons due to a phenyl group at $\delta 7.42$ ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), and 7.68 (2 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), and two anomeric protons at $\delta 4.89(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $5.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs})$. The NMR data of 1 were similar to that of garcimangosone D ( $6-O-\beta$-D-glu-copyranosyl-2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone, 2), ${ }^{2}$ except for the presence of one set of apiose moiety signals. The apiose linkage in 1 was established at C-2 of the glucosyl residue by an HMBC experiment, which showed cross-peaks between the signals at $\delta 5.11$ (H-1 of Api) and 75.9 (C-2 of Glc). The anomeric configuration of the apiosyl residue was deduced to be $\beta$ by comparison of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of the apiosyl residue, ${ }^{5}$ and that of the glucosyl residue to be $\beta$ from the ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 2}$ of the anomeric proton signal. Thus, tricornoside A was determined to be $6-O-(2-O-\beta$-D-apiofura-nosyl)- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl-2,4-dihydroxybenzophenone (1).

Tricornoside B (4) was obtained as an amorphous powder. Its molecular formula was determined to be $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ on the basis of HRESIMS. The IR spectrum of 4 showed the presence of hydroxyl ( $3411 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), carbonyl ( $1648 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and aromatic ( $1609 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) groups. The UV spectrum in MeOH was similar to that of 2 -hydroxy-3,4dimethoxyxanthone. ${ }^{3}$ Acid hydrolysis of 4 yielded glucose and rhamnose, suggesting that 4 was a 2,3,4-trioxygenated xanthone glycoside. The NMR data of 4 (Table 1 and Table 2) were similar to those of arillanin $D^{3}$ except for the presence of a rhamnosyl residue in 4 instead of the arabinosyl residue in arillanin D. The linkages of sugar and aglycon residues were determined mainly by an HMBC

[^0]experiment. In this experiment, long-range correlations were observed between $\mathrm{H}-1(\delta 5.08)$ of Glc and C-2 ( $\delta 158.2$ ) of the aglycon and between $\mathrm{H}-1$ ( $\delta 4.69$ ) of Rha and C-6 ( $\delta$ 67.7) of Glc. This indicated that the glucosyl moiety was linked to C-2 of the aglycon, and the rhamnosyl moiety was linked to C-6 of Glc. The anomeric configuration of the rhamnosyl residue was determined to be $\alpha$ from the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts of C-3 and C-5, ${ }^{6}$ and that of the glucosyl residue to be $\beta$ from the ${ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{H} 1-\mathrm{H} 2}$ of the anomeric proton signal. Thus, tricornoside B was elucidated as 2-O-(6-O- $\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl-3,4-dimethoxyxanthone (4).
Tricornoside C (5) was obtained as a pale yellow powder $\left(\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{13}\right)$. The IR and UV spectra were typical of a hydroxylated xanthone. On acid hydrolysis, 5 gave glucose and apiose, suggesting that 5 was a xanthone glycoside. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5 revealed the presence of two characteristic pairs of meta-coupled aromatic protons at $\delta$ 6.47 and 6.65 , four aromatic protons of a 1,2-disubstituted benzene group at $\delta 7.38,7.50,7.76$, and 8.13 , and two anomeric protons at $\delta 4.98$ and 5.01. Eleven aliphatic signals among the total of 24 signals in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum could be assigned to two sugar moieties, including two anomeric carbon signals at $\delta 101.5$ and 111.1. The remaining signals were attributable to a xanthone. The substitution pattern of 5 was that of a 1,3-dioxygenerated xanthone, based on the HMBC correlations: H-2/C-1, C-3, $\mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{C}-8 \mathrm{~b}$ and $\mathrm{H}-4 / \mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-4 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{C}-8 \mathrm{~b}$. In the HMBC spectrum, long-range correlations between $\mathrm{H}-1(\delta 5.01)$ of Glc and C-3 ( $\delta 165.9$ ) of the aglycon and between H-1 ( $\delta$ 4.98) of Api and C-6 ( $\delta 68.9$ ) of Glc indicated that the glucosyl residue was linked to C-3 of the aglycon, and the apiosyl residue was linked to the glucosyl moiety by a ( $1 \rightarrow 6$ ) linkage. Thus, tricornoside C was determined to be $3-O$-(6-O- $\beta$-D-apiofuranosyl)- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl-1-hydroxyxanthone (5).

Tricornoside $\mathrm{D}(\mathbf{6})\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{13}\right)$ was obtained as a pale yellow powder. The IR and UV spectra were similar to those of 5 . Comparison of the NMR data of 6 and 5 indicated that the apiosyl residue in $\mathbf{5}$ was replaced by a rhamnosyl residue in 6. The rhamnosyl residue was attached at C-6 of Glc, as deduced from HMBC correlations between H-1 ( $\delta 4.71$ ) of Rha and C-6 ( $\delta 67.7$ ) of Glc. Therefore, tricornoside D was determined to be $3-\mathrm{O}$-(6-O-$\alpha$-L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl-1-hydroxyxanthone (6).

Tricornoside E (7) was obtained as a yellow powder $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{11}\right)$. The IR and UV spectra were characteristic of

Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz ) Spectroscopic Data of Compounds $4-\mathbf{8}^{a, b}$

| no. | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 7.15 s |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | $6.47 \mathrm{~d}(2.0)$ | 6.44 d (2.0) | 6.78 d (8.0) | 6.82 d (8.5) |
| 3 |  |  |  | 7.68 t (8.0) | 7.74 t (8.5) |
| 4 |  | 6.65 d (2.0) | 6.66 d (2.0) | 7.12 d (9.0) | 7.08 d (8.5) |
| 5 | 7.73 d (8.0) | 7.50 d (8.5) | 7.62 d (8.5) |  | 7.69 d (9.0) |
| 6 | 7.75 t (8.0) | 7.76 t (8.5) | 7.75 t (8.5) |  | 7.76 d (8.5) |
| 7 | 7.39 t (8.0) | 7.38 t (8.0) | 7.38 t (7.0) |  |  |
| 8 | 8.18 d (8.0) | 8.13 d (8.5) | 8.12 d (8.0) | 7.35 s | 7.71 d (3.0) |
| $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 3.91 s |  |  | 3.91 s |  |
| $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 3.97 s |  |  |  |  |
| 1-OH |  |  |  | 12.85 s | 12.58 s |
| $6-\mathrm{OH}$ |  |  |  | 10.37 brs |  |
| Glc-1 | 5.08 d (7.5) | 5.01 d (8.0) | 5.00 d (8.0) | 4.85 d (8.0) | 4.92 d (7.5) |
| 2 | 3.58 t (7.5) | 3.49 m | 3.58 m | 3.43 t (8.0) | 3.31 m |
| 3 | 3.51 t (9.3) | 3.51 m | 3.50 m | 3.28 m | 3.33 m |
| 4 | 3.38 t (9.3) | 3.36 m | 3.37 m | 3.26 m | 3.20 m |
| 5 | 3.70 m | 3.70 m | 3.67 m | 3.24 m | 3.58 m |
| 6 | 4.08 m | 4.07 m | 4.06 m | 3.66 m | 3.97 m |
|  | 3.62 m | 3.61 dd (11.0/7.0) | 3.64 m | 3.49 dd (11.5/5.5) | 3.56 dd (11.0/6.5) |
|  | Rha | Api | Rha |  | Ara |
| 1 | 4.69 d (1.5) | 4.98 d (1.5) | 4.71 d (1.5) |  | 4.17 d (6.5) |
| 2 | 3.96 m | 3.96 d (2.5) | 3.97 m (0/30) |  | 3.40 t (8.0) |
| 3 | 3.79 dd (9.3/3.3) |  | 3.78 dd (9.0/3.0) |  | 3.33 m |
| 4 | 3.34 m | 4.03 d (9.5) | 3.35 m |  | 3.61 m |
|  |  | 3.79 d (9.5) |  |  |  |
| 5 | 3.67 m | 3.62 brs | 3.65 m |  | 3.68 dd (12.0/4.0) |
|  |  | 3.62 brs |  |  | 3.35 m |
| 6 | 1.17 d (6.0) |  | 1.20 d (6.0) |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Assignments were based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY, HSQC, and HMBC experiments. ${ }^{b}$ Compounds 4, 5, and $\mathbf{6}$ were recorded in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$; $\mathbf{7}$ and 8 in DMSO- $d_{6}$.

Table 2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz ) Spectroscopic Data of Compounds $4-\mathbf{8}^{a, b}$

| no. | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 101.5 | 164.3 | 164.3 | 160.7 | 160.9 |
| 2 | 158.2 | 100.2 | 100.3 | 109.8 | 110.0 |
| 3 | 141.2 | 165.9 | 165.9 | 136.4 | 137.4 |
| 4 | 154.7 | 96.2 | 96.2 | 107.4 | 107.2 |
| 4a | 156.9 | 158.9 | 158.9 | 155.6 | 155.8 |
| 4b | 155.7 | 157.5 | 157.5 | 148.2 | 151.0 |
| 5 | 119.2 | 118.9 | 119.2 | 132.5 | 119.7 |
| 6 | 135.9 | 136.7 | 136.7 | 145.9 | 126.4 |
| 7 | 125.2 | 125.4 | 125.4 | 146.4 | 153.9 |
| 8 | 127.0 | 126.5 | 126.4 | 100.2 | 110.6 |
| 8 a | 123.1 | 121.5 | 121.5 | 111.2 | 120.3 |
| 8 b | 112.5 | 105.6 | 105.6 | 107.7 | 107.9 |
| 9 | 177.4 | 182.2 | 182.2 | 180.3 | 181.5 |
| OCH 3 | 62.3 |  |  | 56.0 |  |
| OCH | 62.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Glc-1 | 102.1 | 101.5 | 102.2 | 105.5 | 101.3 |
| 2 | 74.8 | 74.7 | 74.7 | 73.9 | 73.2 |
| 3 | 78.2 | 77.9 | 77.9 | 76.0 | 76.2 |
| 4 | 71.4 | 71.6 | 71.5 | 69.6 | 69.8 |
| 5 | 77.4 | 77.2 | 77.3 | 77.4 | 75.7 |
| 6 | 67.7 | 68.9 | 67.7 | 60.8 | 68.1 |
|  | Rha | Api | Rha |  | Ara |
| 1 | 102.2 | 111.1 | 101.7 |  | 103.5 |
| 2 | 72.1 | 78.2 | 72.1 |  | 70.6 |
| 3 | 72.5 | 80.5 | 72.4 |  | 72.4 |
| 4 | 74.1 | 75.1 | 74.2 |  | 67.3 |
| 5 | 69.9 | 65.9 | 69.8 |  | 64.9 |
| 6 | 17.9 |  | 17.9 |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Assigned by HSQC and HMBC experiments. ${ }^{b}$ Compounds 4, 5, and $\mathbf{6}$ were recorded in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD} ; \mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ in DMSO- $d_{6}$.
a 1,5,6,7-tetraoxygenated xanthone. ${ }^{7}$ Acid hydrolysis of 7 yielded glucose. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 7 showed the presence of a hydrogen-bonded hydroxyl singlet at $\delta 12.85$ (C-1-OH), an isolated aromatic proton signal at $\delta 7.35$, ABM-type aromatic proton signals at $\delta 7.68(\mathrm{t}, ~ J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and $6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, one methoxyl signal at $\delta 3.91$, and an anomeric proton signal at $\delta 4.85$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). Six signals in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum were
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Figure 1. Structures of compounds 1-2 and 4-8 from the roots of Polygala tricornis.
assigned to a glucosyl moiety, and the remaining signals were attributable to a xanthone. The isolated aromatic proton signal at $\delta 7.35$ was assigned as H-8 on the basis of correlations between the signals at $\delta 7.35$ and 180.3 (C-9), 148.2 (C-4b), and 145.9 (C-6). The 7-methoxyl moiety was confirmed by a NOESY experiment, which showed crosspeaks between the methoxyl signal at $\delta 3.91$ and the singlet aromatic proton signal at $\delta 7.35$ (H-8). The glucose linkage in 7 was established at C-5 by an HMBC experiment. The anomeric configuration of the glucosyl residue was deduced to be $\beta$. Thus, tricornoside E was established as $5-O-\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl-1,6-dihydroxy-7-methoxyxanthone (7).

The HRESIMS of tricornoside F (8) established the molecular formula of $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{13}$. The IR and UV spectra absorption bands suggested a 1,7-dioxygenated xanthone. ${ }^{8}$ Acid hydrolysis yielded glucose and arabinose. The NMR
data of 8 were similar to those of wubangziside $\mathrm{A}^{8}$ except for an arabinosyl residue in 8 instead of the apiosyl residue in wugangziside A. The arabinosyl residue was linked to C-6 of Glc, on the basis of the HMBC correlations between the arabinosyl anomeric proton signal at $\delta 4.17$ and C-6 ( $\delta$ 68.1) of the Glc. Thus, tricornoside F was determined to be 7-O-(6-O- $\alpha$-L-arabinopyranosyl)- $\beta$-D-glucopyranosyl-1hydroxyxanthone (8).

## Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. Optical rotations were measured on a Polartronic D polarimeter. UV spectra were recorded on a UV-2401 spectrophotometer. IR spectra ( KBr disks) were recorded on an Avater-360 spectrophotometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, NOESY, COSY, HMQC, and HMBC spectra were recorded on Bruker AM-500 or JEOL JNM-A300 spectrometers. HRESIMS were measured on a Bruker APEX II mass spectrometer. Column chromatography (CC): D101 (Tianjin Chemical Co.), silica gel (200-300 mesh, Qingdao Marine Chemical Factory). Semipreparative HPLC: Waters 600 controller, Waters column (Prep Nova-Pak HR C $187.8 \times$ 300 mm ), Waters 2487 dual $\lambda$ absorbance detector, detection wavelength $228,310 \mathrm{~nm}$. GC analysis was carried out on an Agilent 6890N gas chromatogragh using a HP-5 capillary column ( $28 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.32 \mathrm{~mm}$, id); detection, FID; detector temperature, $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; column temperature, $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; carrier gas, $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.

Plant Material. The roots of $P$. tricornis were collected in December 2003, in Yunnan Province, China. The plant was identified by one of the authors (P.-F.T). A voucher specimen (No. 031220) is deposited in the Herbarium of Modern Research Center for TCM, Peking University, Beijing, People's Republic of China.

Extraction and Isolation. The dried roots of $P$. tricornis $(3.0 \mathrm{~kg})$ were extracted twice with $95 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ under reflux. After evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure, the $95 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ extract ( 800 g ) was suspended in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with petroleum ether, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, and $n-\mathrm{BuOH}$, respectively. The $n$-BuOH layer ( 250 g ) was adsorbed on a porous polymer gel D101 column $(9.5 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm})$. The adsorbed material was eluted with $10 \%, 30 \%$, and $50 \%$ aqueous MeOH and MeOH successively, after washing with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $10 \%$ aqueous MeOH eluate $(10.5 \mathrm{~g})$ was chromatographed on a silica gel $(200-300$ mesh, 300 g ) column using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(70: 10: 1)$ as an eluent to afford fractions $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{L}$. Fraction $\mathrm{E}(0.7 \mathrm{~g})$ was subjected to semipreparative $\mathrm{HPLC}\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 28: 72\right)$ to afford $1\left(18 \mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=8.0 \mathrm{~min}\right), \mathbf{2}\left(12 \mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=13.2 \mathrm{~min}\right)$, and 3 ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=15.5 \mathrm{~min}$ ). The $30 \%$ aqueous MeOH eluate ( 15.6 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel (200-300 mesh, 400 g) column using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(70: 10: 1 \rightarrow 80: 20: 2)$ as eluent to afford fractions A-P. Fraction D $(0.4 \mathrm{~g})$ was subjected to semipreparative HPLC $\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 40: 60\right)$ to afford 4 (15 $\left.\mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=14.8 \mathrm{~min}\right), 5\left(25 \mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=26.5 \mathrm{~min}\right)$, and $\mathbf{6}\left(22 \mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}\right.$ $=29.2 \mathrm{~min}$ ). The $50 \%$ aqueous MeOH eluate ( 18.5 g ) was chromatographed on a silica gel (200-300 mesh, 500 g ) column using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(80: 20: 2 \rightarrow 70: 30: 3)$ as eluent to afford fractions $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{M}$. Fraction $\mathrm{D}(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ was subjected to semipreparative HPLC $\left(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 49: 51\right)$ to afford 7 (35 $\left.\mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=19.8 \mathrm{~min}\right), 8\left(16 \mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=21.5 \mathrm{~min}\right)$, and $\mathbf{9}\left(28 \mathrm{mg}, t_{\mathrm{R}}\right.$ $=24.2 \mathrm{~min}$ ).

Tricornoside A (1): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-68.2$ (c $0.75 \mathrm{MeOH})$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 304,250,208 \mathrm{~nm}$; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max }$ 3358, 2923, 1614, 1452, $1072 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}, 500$
$\mathrm{MHz}) \delta 7.68\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}\right), 7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}\right), 7.42\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-3^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}\right), 6.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}, \mathrm{H}-5)$, $6.02(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs, H-3), $5.11(1 \mathrm{H}$, brs, Api-1), $4.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7.5$ Hz, Glc-1), 3.64 (1H, brs, Api-2), $3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Glc-6a), $3.42(1 \mathrm{H}$, m, Glc-6b), $3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Api-4a), $3.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Glc-3), $3.24(1 \mathrm{H}$, m, Glc-5), 3.21 (1H, m, Api-4b), 3.19 (2H, brs, Api-5a, 5b), 3.06 $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Glc}-2,4) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta$ $194.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 160.6(\mathrm{C}-4), 157.3(\mathrm{C}-2), 156.3(\mathrm{C}-6), 138.6$ (C$\left.1^{\prime}\right), 132.3$ (C-4'), 129.0 ( $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$ ), 128.1 (C-3', 5'), 108.4 (Api-1), 108.0 (C-1), 97.6 (Glc-1), 96.3 (C-3), 93.6 (C-5), 78.9 (Api-3), 77.0 (Glc-3), 76.8 (Glc-5), 76.2 (Api-2), 75.9 (Glc-2), 73.6 (Api4), 69.6 (Glc-4), 64.1 (Api-5), 60.5 (Glc-6); HRESIMS m/z $525.1609[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{13}, 525.1608$ ).

Tricornoside B (4): amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-72.5$ (c 0.86 MeOH ); UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 337,297,277,242,208 \mathrm{~nm}$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) \nu_{\max } 3411,2927,1648,1609,1467,1067 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m / z 581.1866$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{14}, 581.1870$ ).

Tricornoside C (5): pale yellow powder, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-84.5$ (c $0.92 \mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\text {max }} 344,301,252,235,209 \mathrm{~nm}$; IR (KBr) $v_{\max } 3410,2925,1650,1609,1572,1468,1072 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $523.1446[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{13}, 523.1452$ ).

Tricornoside D (6): pale yellow powder, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-61.3$ (c 0.70 $\mathrm{MeOH})$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\max } 349,300,253,235,210 \mathrm{~nm}$; IR (KBr) $\nu_{\max } 3410,2922,1651,1609,1572,1468,1067 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m / z 537.1603$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{13}, 537.1608$ ).

Tricornoside E (7): yellow powder, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-58.5$ (c 0.75 $\mathrm{MeOH}) ; \mathrm{UV}(\mathrm{MeOH}) \lambda_{\max } 373,312,252,231,201 \mathrm{~nm} ; \mathrm{IR}(\mathrm{KBr})$ $\nu_{\max } 3300,2922,1646,1601,1480,1070 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS $m / z 437.1075[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{13}, 437.1084$ ).

Tricornoside $\mathbf{F}$ (8): pale yellow powder, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}-86.4$ (c $0.81 \mathrm{MeOH})$; UV (MeOH) $\lambda_{\text {max }} 383,288,257,233,204 \mathrm{~nm}$; IR $(\mathrm{KBr}) \nu_{\max } 3371,2915,1645,1608,1478,1064 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data, Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z 523.1448 [M + $\mathrm{H}]^{+}$(calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{13}, 523.1452$ ).

Acid Hydrolysis of 1 and 4-8. Each compound (3 mg) was hydrolyzed with 2 M aqueous $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) at 110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h in a sealed tube. After this period, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After repeated evaporation to dryness of the aqueous layer with MeOH until neutral, the residue was dissolved in pyridine ( 0.06 mL ), then hexamethyldisilazine $(0.06 \mathrm{~mL})$ and trimethylsilyl chloride $(0.02 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The supernatant was subjected to GC. D-Glucose ( 12.51 min ) was detected from 1 and 4-8, D-apiose ( 5.12 min ) was detected from 1 and 5, L-rhamnose ( 5.42 min ) was detected from 4 and 6, and L-arabinose ( 5.36 min ) was detected from 8 .
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